

The University of Southern Mississippi
RFP 21-01 Consensus Bid Tabulation for Solid Waste Collection & Disposal Services

Criteria	Weight	Republic Services			Santek (Waste Services)			Waste Mangement **			Waste Pro		
		Score	Multiplier	Total Points	Score	Multiplier	Total Points	Score	Multiplier	Total Points	Score	Multiplier	Total Points
Price	50%	3.79	0.5	1.90	1.58	0.5	0.79	5	0.5	2.50	4.99	0.5	2.50
Experience	10%	3.4	0.1	0.34	3.2	0.1	0.32	4.6	0.1	0.46	3.4	0.1	0.34
Financial Resources	10%	3.4	0.1	0.34	3.4	0.1	0.34	4	0.1	0.40	3.8	0.1	0.38
Completeness of Response	10%	3.6	0.1	0.36	3.8	0.1	0.38	4.6	0.1	0.46	4	0.1	0.40
Quality of Plan	10%	3.2	0.1	0.32	3.6	0.1	0.36	4.4	0.1	0.44	3.8	0.1	0.38
Disaster Plan	10%	2.2	0.1	0.22	2.4	0.1	0.24	4.2	0.1	0.42	3.4	0.1	0.34
	100%		1			1			1			1	
			Total Points	3.48		Total Points	2.43		Total Points	4.68		Total Points	4.34

* Each Vendor Response will be given a score between 1 and 5 with 5 being the best or most responsive proposal for the criteria being scored.

** Award pending MS Institute of Higher Learning Board approval.

I certify that the above is a true and correct tabulation of bids received and opened for the project specified above.

Deidre Edwards

Deidre Edwards, Buyer
University of Southern Mississippi

RFP 21-01 Pricing Scoring

Vendor	Bid Sealed	Signature	Annual Cost	Score for Cost
Republic Services	x	x	\$232,495.80	3.79
Santek (Waste Services)	x	x	\$315,080.26	1.58
Waste Management **	x	x	\$187,155.34	5.00
Waste Pro	x	x	\$187,512.68	4.99

* On projected cost, the lowest Proposal will receive a score of 5. All other proposals will receive scores proportionate to the percentage difference in their price and that of the lowest price.

Example: Vendor A proposes the lowest total cost of \$20,000, Vendor A received a score of 5 on the price criteria. Vendor B proposes a cost of \$25,000. This price is 25% higher than the lowest cost proposal. Vendor B will be assigned a score of 3.75 as that score is 25% less than the score of 5.

** Low Cost