## Construction and Architecture Course Assessment

## Survey response 1

Response ID 27  Course Information  Course Prefix: BCT  Course #: 386  Course Title: Project Control  Delivery Format: Both Online and F-to-F  Pre-Requisite: AEC 258 - Construction Planning and Scheduling Instructor: Arlys Silva Payne  Semester: Spring  Year:
Course Information  Course Prefix: BCT  Course #: 386  Course Title: Project Control  Delivery Format: Both Online and F-to-F  Pre-Requisite: AEC 258 - Construction Planning and Scheduling  Instructor: Arlys Silva Payne  Semester: Spring  Year:
Course Prefix: BCT  Course #: 386  Course Title: Project Control  Delivery Format: Both Online and F-to-F  Pre-Requisite: AEC 258 - Construction Planning and Scheduling  Instructor: Arlys Silva Payne  Semester: Spring  Year:
Course Prefix: BCT  Course #: 386  Course Title: Project Control  Delivery Format: Both Online and F-to-F  Pre-Requisite: AEC 258 - Construction Planning and Scheduling  Instructor: Arlys Silva Payne  Semester: Spring  Year:
BCT Course #: 386 Course Title: Project Control Delivery Format: Both Online and F-to-F Pre-Requisite: AEC 258 - Construction Planning and Scheduling Instructor: Arlys Silva Payne Semester: Spring Year:
BCT Course #: 386 Course Title: Project Control Delivery Format: Both Online and F-to-F Pre-Requisite: AEC 258 - Construction Planning and Scheduling Instructor: Arlys Silva Payne Semester: Spring Year:
Course #: 386  Course Title: Project Control  Delivery Format: Both Online and F-to-F  Pre-Requisite: AEC 258 - Construction Planning and Scheduling  Instructor: Arlys Silva Payne  Semester: Spring  Year:
Course Title: Project Control  Delivery Format: Both Online and F-to-F  Pre-Requisite: AEC 258 - Construction Planning and Scheduling  Instructor: Arlys Silva Payne  Semester: Spring  Year:
Course Title: Project Control  Delivery Format: Both Online and F-to-F  Pre-Requisite: AEC 258 - Construction Planning and Scheduling  Instructor: Arlys Silva Payne  Semester: Spring  Year:
Project Control  Delivery Format: Both Online and F-to-F  Pre-Requisite: AEC 258 - Construction Planning and Scheduling  Instructor: Arlys Silva Payne  Semester: Spring  Year:
Delivery Format: Both Online and F-to-F Pre-Requisite: AEC 258 - Construction Planning and Scheduling Instructor: Arlys Silva Payne Semester: Spring Year:
Both Online and F-to-F  Pre-Requisite: AEC 258 – Construction Planning and Scheduling  Instructor: Arlys Silva Payne  Semester: Spring  Year:
Pre-Requisite: AEC 258 – Construction Planning and Scheduling Instructor: Arlys Silva Payne Semester: Spring Year:
AEC 258 - Construction Planning and Scheduling Instructor: Arlys Silva Payne Semester: Spring Year:
AEC 258 - Construction Planning and Scheduling Instructor: Arlys Silva Payne Semester: Spring Year:
Arlys Silva Payne Semester: Spring Year:
Arlys Silva Payne Semester: Spring Year:
Spring Year:
Year:
2018
Academic Partner Name:
None
Academic Partner Title:
None
Academic Partner Contact Info:
None
Industry Partner Name:
None
Industry Partner Title:
None
Industry Partner Contact Info:
None
Course guest Speaker (1) - Name, Title, Company
N/A
Course Guest Speaker (1): Topic Covered

Course guest Speaker (2) - Name, Title, Company N/A Course Guest Speaker (2): Topic Covered N/A ACCE - SLO 1. ACCE SLO 14. Understand construction accounting and cost control. 2. ACCE SLO 16. Understand construction project control processes. ETAC ABET (GC) 1. ETAC ABET AET (GC/PC): CET-PC-AS-c. utilize measuring methods, hardware, and software that are appropriate for field, laboratory, and office processes related to construction; 2. ETAC ABET AET (GC/PC): CET-PC-BS-f. perform economic analyses and cost estimates related to design, construction, and maintenance of systems associated with construction engineering; Student Enrollment Number of Students Enrolled: 58 Number of CET students: Number of AET Students: 0 **Data Collection** Target: 80% of students achieve a 70% or higher on the assessment ACCE SLO (1) Assessment Instrument Used: ACCE SLO (1) Assessment Instrument Used: [Other] ACCE SLO (1) Findings (please report CET Hattiesburg Campus, and CET online separately) CET Hattiesburg Campus: 88% achieved 70% or higher, Avg 84%, High 99% Low 63%, SD = 7.86 CET online separately: 95% achieved 70% or higher, Avg 89%, High 100%, Low 63%, SD = 7.75

If ACCE SLO (1) Target not met identify action plan to improve outcomes:

ACCE SLO (2) Assessment Instrument Used:

Quiz

ACCE SLO (2) Assessment Instrument Used: [Other]

ACCE SLO (2) Findings (please report CET Hattiesburg Campus, and CET online separately)

CET Hattiesburg Campus: 94% achieved 70% or higher, Avg 84%, High 91% Low 68%, SD = 7.25 CET online separately: 93% achieved 70% or higher, Avg 86%, High97 %, Low 44%, SD = 13.22

If ACCE SLO (2) Target not met identify action plan to improve outcomes:

N/A

ETAC-ABET (1) Assessment Instrument Used:

Quiz

ETAC-ABET (1) Assessment Instrument Used: [Other]

ETAC-ABET (1) Findings (please report AET/CET Hattiesburg Campus, and AET/CET online separately)

CET Hattiesburg Campus: 94% achieved 70% or higher, Avg 84%, High 91% Low 68%, SD = 7.25 CET online separately: 93% achieved 70% or higher, Avg 86%, High97 %, Low 44%, SD = 13.22

If ETAC-ABET (1) Target not met identify action plan to improve outcomes:

N/A

ETAC-ABET (2) Assessment Instrument Used:

Assignment

ETAC-ABET (2) Assessment Instrument Used: [Other]

ETAC-ABET (2) Findings (please report AET/CET Hattiesburg Campus, and AET/CET online separately)

CET Hattiesburg Campus: 100% achieved 70% or higher, Avg 85%, High 100% Low 74%, SD = 6.13 CET online separately: 92% achieved 70% or higher, Avg 88%, High 100%, Low 38%, SD = 10.24

If ETAC-ABET (2) Target not met identify action plan to improve outcomes:

N/A

### **Program Objectives**

Increase Hattiesburg On-campus enrollment in Construction Engineering Technology program.

I believe increasing on-campus enrrollment is a good goal

Employers are satisfied with Construction Engineering Technology interns performance.

Response ID
28
Course Information
Course Prefix:
ACT
Course #:
322
Course Title:
Architectural History 2
Delivery Format:
Face-to-Face
Pre-Requisite:
None
Instructor:
Doris Kemp
Semester:
Spring
Year:
2018
Academic Partner Name:
None-1st time to teach course
Academic Partner Title:
N/A
Academic Partner Contact Info:
N/A
Industry Partner Name:
None- 1st time to teach course
Industry Partner Title:
N/A
Industry Partner Contact Info:
N/A
Course guest Speaker (1) - Name, Title, Company
USM Writing Center Gene 'O Gordon
Coordinator, The Writing Center
Course Guest Speaker (1): Topic Covered
How to develop an outline and quality research paper

Course guest Speaker (2) - Name, Title, Company

**USM Speaking Center** 

Steve Young, Speaking Center Co-Coordinator

Karen Boger, Graduate Assistant

Course Guest Speaker (2): Topic Covered

How to develop an effective speech; methods to control speech anxiety

#### ACCE - SLO

1. ACCE SLO

N/A

2. ACCE SLO

N/A

### ETAC ABET (GC)

#### 1. ETAC ABET AET (GC/PC):

GC-g. an ability to apply written, oral, and graphical communication in both technical and non-technical environments; and an ability to identify and use appropriate technical literature;

#### 2. ETAC ABET AET (GC/PC):

GC-g. an ability to apply written, oral, and graphical communication in both technical and non-technical environments; and an ability to identify and use appropriate technical literature;

#### Student Enrollment

Number of Students Enrolled:

35

Number of CET students:

0

Number of AET Students:

30

#### **Data Collection**

Target: 80% of students achieve a 70% or higher on the assessment

ACCE SLO (1) Assessment Instrument Used:

N/A

ACCE SLO (1) Assessment Instrument Used: [Other]

ACCE SLO (1) Findings (please report CET Hattiesburg Campus, and CET online separately)

If ACCE SLO (1) Target not met identify action plan to improve outcomes:

N/A

ACCE SLO (2) Assessment Instrument Used:

N/A

ACCE SLO (2) Assessment Instrument Used: [Other]

ACCE SLO (2) Findings (please report CET Hattiesburg Campus, and CET online separately)

N/A

If ACCE SLO (2) Target not met identify action plan to improve outcomes:

N/A

ETAC-ABET (1) Assessment Instrument Used:

Assignment

ETAC-ABET (1) Assessment Instrument Used: [Other]

ETAC-ABET (1) Findings (please report AET/CET Hattiesburg Campus, and AET/CET online separately)

Research Paper where students select an architect and structure and develop a 4 page minimum paper addressing criteria established by the course instructor.

86.7% (26/30) students achieved a 70 or better

If ETAC-ABET (1) Target not met identify action plan to improve outcomes:

Target met

ETAC-ABET (2) Assessment Instrument Used:

Assignment

ETAC-ABET (2) Assessment Instrument Used: [Other]

ETAC-ABET (2) Findings (please report AET/CET Hattiesburg Campus, and AET/CET online separately)

Students select either an architect or structure from chapters 15-16 (20th Century and Modernism) and research, develop, and deliver a timed oral presentation to the class.

76.6% (23/30) students achieved a 70 or better.

If ETAC-ABET (2) Target not met identify action plan to improve outcomes:

Five (5) of seven (7) students counted in the group of students not achieving the 70 on the Final Presentation did not submit the assignment or present. This impacted the finding.

## **Program Objectives**

Increase Hattiesburg On-campus enrollment in Construction Engineering Technology program.

N/A

Employers are satisfied with Construction Engineering Technology interns performance.

Response ID
31
Course Information
Course Prefix:
AEC
Course #:
380
Course Title:
Specifications & Contract Documents
Delivery Format:
Both Online and F-to-F
Pre-Requisite:
AEC 204
Instructor:
Doris Kemp
Semester:
Spring
Year:
2018
Academic Partner Name:
Paul Baggett, AGC, LEED-Green Associate, CDT, CCCA
Academic Partner Title:  Lecturer, Engr. Mgt. & Tech. Dept., University of Tennessee at Chattanooga
Academic Partner Contact Info: Paul-Baggett@utc.edu
Industry Partner Name: Tom Clarke
Industry Partner Title: Certified Construction Specifier
Industry Partner Contact Info: tclarke@mdot.ms.gov
Course guest Speaker (1) - Name, Title, Company
osaros guoti opositor (1) Traino, Tito, company

Course Guest Speaker (1): Topic Covered
February 20, 2018- Why are specifications is

February 20, 2018- Why are specifications important to contractors & designers, legal issues with specifications, how to best prepare for taking the CDT (Construction Documents Technologist) exam

Course guest Speaker (2) - Name, Title, Company

Eric Gunn, Pre-Construction Manager, Brasfield and Gorrie

Tom Clarke, Certified Construction Specifier, Mississippi Department of Transportation

James Meyers, Superintendent, Brasfield and Gorrie

Course Guest Speaker (2): Topic Covered

Overview of Brasfield & Gorrie, contract documents they use, sample projects

#### ACCE - SLO

#### 1. ACCE SLO

7. Analyze construction documents for planning and management of construction processes.

#### 2. ACCE SLO

12. Understand different methods of project delivery and the roles and responsibilities of all consistencies involved in the design and construction process.

### ETAC ABET (GC)

#### 1. ETAC ABET AET (GC/PC):

CET-PC-AS-a. utilize techniques that are appropriate to administer and evaluate construction contracts, documents, and codes;

#### 2. ETAC ABET AET (GC/PC):

N/A

#### Student Enrollment

Number of Students Enrolled:

90 - (20 on-campus in H001; 70 online in H002)

Number of CET students:

80- (15 on-campus in H001; 65 online in H002)

Number of AET Students:

10- (5 on-campus in H001; 5 online in H002)

#### **Data Collection**

Target: 80% of students achieve a 70% or higher on the assessment

ACCE SLO (1) Assessment Instrument Used:

Test

ACCE SLO (1) Assessment Instrument Used: [Other]

#### ACCE SLO (1) Findings (please report CET Hattiesburg Campus, and CET online separately)

 $11/15 \ (73.3\%)$  of on-campus BCT students scored 70 or higher

61/65 (93.8%) of online BCT students scored 70 or higher

4/5 (80%) of ACT on-campus students scored 70 or higher

4/5 (80%) of ACT online students scored 70 or higher

#### If ACCE SLO (1) Target not met identify action plan to improve outcomes:

The on-campus BCT students did not meet the 80% target (only 73.3%). The instructor will spend additional time in class to review the AIA A201 document to ensure students grasp the key content.

ACCE SLO (2) Assessment Instrument Used:

Quiz

ACCE SLO (2) Assessment Instrument Used: [Other]

ACCE SLO (2) Findings (please report CET Hattiesburg Campus, and CET online separately)

12/15 (80%) of on-campus BCT students scored 70 or higher

60/65 (92.3%) of online BCT students scored 70 or higher

2/5 (40%) of ACT on-campus students scored 70 or higher--NOTE: 3 students did not take the quiz 5/5 (100%) of ACT online students scored 70 or higher

If ACCE SLO (2) Target not met identify action plan to improve outcomes:

Target met for BCT on-campus and online students

ETAC-ABET (1) Assessment Instrument Used:

Test

ETAC-ABET (1) Assessment Instrument Used: [Other]

ETAC-ABET (1) Findings (please report AET/CET Hattiesburg Campus, and AET/CET online separately)

11/15 (73.3%) of on-campus BCT students scored 70 or higher--Target not met

61/65 (93.8%) of online BCT students scored 70 or higher

4/5 (80%) of ACT on-campus students scored 70 or higher

4/5 (80%) of ACT online students scored 70 or higher

If ETAC-ABET (1) Target not met identify action plan to improve outcomes:

The on-campus BCT students did not meet the 80% target (only 73.3%). The instructor will spend additional time in class to review the AIA A201 document to ensure students grasp the key content.

ETAC-ABET (2) Assessment Instrument Used:

N/A

ETAC-ABET (2) Assessment Instrument Used: [Other]

ETAC-ABET (2) Findings (please report AET/CET Hattiesburg Campus, and AET/CET online separately)

N/A

If ETAC-ABET (2) Target not met identify action plan to improve outcomes:

N/A

### **Program Objectives**

Increase Hattiesburg On-campus enrollment in Construction Engineering Technology program.

N/A

Employers are satisfied with Construction Engineering Technology interns performance.

Response ID
32
Course Information
Course Prefix:
AEC
Course #:
204
Course Title:
Materials and Methods of Construction
Delivery Format:
Both Online and F-to-F
Pre-Requisite:
None
Instructor:
Leffi Cewe-Malloy
Semester:
Spring
Year:
2018
Academic Partner Name:
John Hannon
Academic Partner Title:
Associate Professor
Academic Partner Contact Info:
School of Construction + Design, USM
Industry Partner Name: Anna Thames
Industry Partner Title:  Director of Education and Research
Industry Partner Contact Info: Mississippi Forestry Association, athames@msforestry.net (601) 354-4936
Course guest Speaker (1) - Name, Title, Company
John Hannon USM, SoC+D
Course Guest Speaker (1): Topic Covered
Concrete Methods.
Course guest Speaker (2) - Name, Title, Company

Dr. Erich Connell USM SoC+D Course Guest Speaker (2): Topic Covered Load bearing CMU walls. Loadbearing metal stud walls. ACCE - SLO 1. ACCE SLO 8. Analyze methods, materials, and equipment used to construct projects. 2. ACCE SLO 18. Understand the basic principles of sustainable construction. ETAC ABET (GC) 1. ETAC ABET AET (GC/PC): N/A 2. ETAC ABET AET (GC/PC): N/A Student Enrollment Number of Students Enrolled: 133 Number of CET students: Number of AET Students: 21 **Data Collection** Target: 80% of students achieve a 70% or higher on the assessment ACCE SLO (1) Assessment Instrument Used: ACCE SLO (1) Assessment Instrument Used: [Other] ACCE SLO (1) Findings (please report CET Hattiesburg Campus, and CET online separately) Final exam was used for this SLO assessment. Hattiesburg Campus students: 38 out of 44 students (86.4%) received a 70 or above on this test. Online students: 83 out of 89 students (93.2%) received a 70 or above on this test If ACCE SLO (1) Target not met identify action plan to improve outcomes: Target was met.

ACCE SLO (2) Assessment Instrument Used:

Assignment

ACCE SLO (2) Assessment Instrument Used: [Other]

ACCE SLO (2) Findings (please report CET Hattiesburg Campus, and CET online separately)

A written paper was used for assessment of this SLO.

Hattiesburg Campus students: 40 out of 44 students (90.9 %) received a 70 or above on this test.

Online students: 77 out of 89 students (86.5%) received a 70 or above on this test

If ACCE SLO (2) Target not met identify action plan to improve outcomes:

Target was met.

ETAC-ABET (1) Assessment Instrument Used:

NI/Λ

ETAC-ABET (1) Assessment Instrument Used: [Other]

ETAC-ABET (1) Findings (please report AET/CET Hattiesburg Campus, and AET/CET online separately)

N/A

If ETAC-ABET (1) Target not met identify action plan to improve outcomes:

N/A

ETAC-ABET (2) Assessment Instrument Used:

N/A

ETAC-ABET (2) Assessment Instrument Used: [Other]

ETAC-ABET (2) Findings (please report AET/CET Hattiesburg Campus, and AET/CET online separately)

N/A

If ETAC-ABET (2) Target not met identify action plan to improve outcomes:

N/A

## **Program Objectives**

Increase Hattiesburg On-campus enrollment in Construction Engineering Technology program.

Yes..

Employers are satisfied with Construction Engineering Technology interns performance.

Yes..

Response iD
33
Course Information
Course Prefix:
BCT
Course #:
400
Course Title:
Senior Project
Delivery Format:
Both Online and F-to-F
Pre-Requisite:
senior standing
Instructor:
Hannon
Semester:
Spring
Year:
2018
Academic Partner Name:
none
Academic Partner Title:
none
Academic Partner Contact Info:
none
Industry Partner Name:
none
Industry Partner Title:
none
Industry Partner Contact Info: none
Course guest Speaker (1) - Name, Title, Company  N/A
Course Guest Speaker (1): Topic Covered  N/A
Course guest Speaker (2) - Name, Title, Company

Course Guest Speaker (2): Topic Covered N/A ACCE - SLO 1. ACCE SLO 1. Create written communications appropriate to the construction discipline. 2. ACCE SLO 2. Create oral presentations appropriate to the construction discipline. ETAC ABET (GC) 1. ETAC ABET AET (GC/PC): GC-g. an ability to apply written, oral, and graphical communication in both technical and non-technical environments; and an ability to identify and use appropriate technical literature; 2. ETAC ABET AET (GC/PC): CET-PC-AS-b. estimate costs, estimate quantities, and evaluate materials for construction projects; Student Enrollment Number of Students Enrolled: 48 Number of CET students: Number of AET Students: **Data Collection** Target: 80% of students achieve a 70% or higher on the assessment ACCE SLO (1) Assessment Instrument Used: Assignment ACCE SLO (1) Assessment Instrument Used: [Other] ACCE SLO (1) Findings (please report CET Hattiesburg Campus, and CET online separately) On-Campus 58% (7/12) Online 97% (35/36) If ACCE SLO (1) Target not met identify action plan to improve outcomes: Greater emphasis on Univ Writing Center

ACCE SLO (2) Assessment Instrument Used:

Assignment

ACCE SLO (2) Assessment Instrument Used: [Other]

ACCE SLO (2) Findings (please report CET Hattiesburg Campus, and CET online separately)

Campus 72% > 70% Online 94% > 70%

If ACCE SLO (2) Target not met identify action plan to improve outcomes:

N/A

ETAC-ABET (1) Assessment Instrument Used:

Assignment

ETAC-ABET (1) Assessment Instrument Used: [Other]

ETAC-ABET (1) Findings (please report AET/CET Hattiesburg Campus, and AET/CET online separately)

Campus 54%>70% Online 97% >70%

If ETAC-ABET (1) Target not met identify action plan to improve outcomes:

Greater emphasis on Univ Writing Center

ETAC-ABET (2) Assessment Instrument Used:

Project

ETAC-ABET (2) Assessment Instrument Used: [Other]

ETAC-ABET (2) Findings (please report AET/CET Hattiesburg Campus, and AET/CET online separately)

Campus 25% > 70%

Online 71% > 70%

If ETAC-ABET (2) Target not met identify action plan to improve outcomes:

Force prerequisistes

## **Program Objectives**

Increase Hattiesburg On-campus enrollment in Construction Engineering Technology program.

?

Employers are satisfied with Construction Engineering Technology interns performance.

?

Response ID
34
Course Information
Course Prefix:
BCT
Course #:
205
Course Title:
Surveying
Delivery Format:
Face-to-Face
Pre-Requisite:
MAT 103
Instructor:
Hannon
Semester:
Spring
Year:
2018
Academic Partner Name:
Matthew Reyes
Academic Partner Title:
Assistant Professor
Academic Partner Contact Info:
(405) 325-4926 mdreyes@ou.edu
Industry Partner Name:
Benjamin Crosby
Industry Partner Title:
Director of BIM/VDC
Industry Partner Contact Info:
601-383-8359
Course guest Speaker (1) - Name, Title, Company
N/A
Course Guest Speaker (1): Topic Covered
N/A
Course guest Speaker (2) - Name, Title, Company
N/A

Course Guest Speaker (2): Topic Covered
N/A
ACCE - SLO
1. ACCE SLO
11. Apply basic surveying techniques for construction.
2. ACCE SLO
N/A
ETAC ABET (GC)
1. ETAC ABET AET (GC/PC):
N/A
2. ETAC ABET AET (GC/PC):
N/A
Student Enrollment
Number of Students Enrolled:
8
Number of CET students:
8
Number of AET Students:
0
Data Collection
Target: 80% of students achieve a 70% or higher on the assessment
ACCE SLO (1) Assessment Instrument Used:
Other
ACCE SLO (1) Assessment Instrument Used: [Other]
NCCER Performance Verification
ACCE SLO (1) Findings (please report CET Hattiesburg Campus, and CET online separately)
CET 100% performed greater than 70%
If ACCE SLO (1) Target not met identify action plan to improve outcomes:
Target Met. Online students from the course will take the Performance Verification at a future date. (9 ea additional)
ACCE SLO (2) Assessment Instrument Used:
N/A

ACCE SLO (2) Assessment Instrument Used: [Other]

ACCE SLO (2) Findings (please report CET Hattiesburg Campus, and CET online separately)

N/A

If ACCE SLO (2) Target not met identify action plan to improve outcomes:

N/A

ETAC-ABET (1) Assessment Instrument Used:

N/A

ETAC-ABET (1) Assessment Instrument Used: [Other]

ETAC-ABET (1) Findings (please report AET/CET Hattiesburg Campus, and AET/CET online separately)

N/A

If ETAC-ABET (1) Target not met identify action plan to improve outcomes:

N/A

ETAC-ABET (2) Assessment Instrument Used:

N/A

ETAC-ABET (2) Assessment Instrument Used: [Other]

ETAC-ABET (2) Findings (please report AET/CET Hattiesburg Campus, and AET/CET online separately)

N/A

If ETAC-ABET (2) Findings (please report AET/CET Hattiesburg Campus, and AET/CET online separately)

N/A

If ETAC-ABET (2) Target not met identify action plan to improve outcomes:

N/A

## **Program Objectives**

Increase Hattiesburg On-campus enrollment in Construction Engineering Technology program.

OK

Employers are satisfied with Construction Engineering Technology interns performance.

OK

Response ID
35
Course Information
Course Prefix:
AEC
Course #:
258
Course Title:
Construction Planning and Scheduling
Delivery Format:
Both Online and F-to-F
Pre-Requisite:
AEC 254 Estimating I
Instructor:
Fan Zhang
Semester:
Spring
Year:
2018
Academic Partner Name:
John Hannon
Academic Partner Title:
Associate Professor
Academic Partner Contact Info:
John.Hannon@usm.edu
Industry Partner Name:
NA
Industry Partner Title:
NA
Industry Partner Contact Info:
NA
Course guest Speaker (1) - Name, Title, Company
The recruit team from Brassfield & Gorrie
Course Guest Speaker (1): Topic Covered
Employment opportunities at Brassfield & Gorrie.
Course guest Speaker (2) - Name, Title, Company
John Hannon, Associate Professor, USM

Course Guest Speaker (2): Topic Covered Work Breakdown Structure: Schedule review. ACCE - SLO 1. ACCE SLO 5. Create construction project schedules. 2. ACCE SLO 9. Apply construction management skills as a member of a multi-disciplinary. ETAC ABET (GC) 1. ETAC ABET AET (GC/PC): N/A 2. ETAC ABET AET (GC/PC): N/A Student Enrollment Number of Students Enrolled: 119 Number of CET students: 105 Number of AET Students: **Data Collection** Target: 80% of students achieve a 70% or higher on the assessment ACCE SLO (1) Assessment Instrument Used:

Project

ACCE SLO (1) Assessment Instrument Used: [Other]

ACCE SLO (1) Findings (please report CET Hattiesburg Campus, and CET online separately)

CET Hattiesburg Campus: 25 students, 100% get 70% or higher;

CET online: 80 students, 100% get 70% or higher;

This assessment is the second part of the group project which is creating a schedule based on drawings and specifications of a fast food restaurant. Students from the same group get the same score on this part of the project.

If ACCE SLO (1) Target not met identify action plan to improve outcomes:

Target is met.

ACCE SLO (2) Assessment Instrument Used:

Project

ACCE SLO (2) Assessment Instrument Used: [Other]

ACCE SLO (2) Findings (please report CET Hattiesburg Campus, and CET online separately)

CET Hattiesburg Campus: 25 students, 76% get 70% or higher;

CET online: 80 students, 83% get 70% or higher;

This assessment is the fourth part of the group project which is peer review on team member's particiation. Students from the same group get different scores based on the review he/she gets.

If ACCE SLO (2) Target not met identify action plan to improve outcomes:

The target is met for online but not for Hattiesburg Campus students. The communication among team members are conducted mainly through emails and group discussion online, so the on-campus students are not as active as online students. Putting oncampus students in the same group may improve the outcomes.

ETAC-ABET (1) Assessment Instrument Used:

N/A

ETAC-ABET (1) Assessment Instrument Used: [Other]

ETAC-ABET (1) Findings (please report AET/CET Hattiesburg Campus, and AET/CET online separately)

N/A

If ETAC-ABET (1) Target not met identify action plan to improve outcomes:

N/A

ETAC-ABET (2) Assessment Instrument Used:

N/A

ETAC-ABET (2) Assessment Instrument Used: [Other]

ETAC-ABET (2) Findings (please report AET/CET Hattiesburg Campus, and AET/CET online separately)

N/A

If ETAC-ABET (2) Target not met identify action plan to improve outcomes:

N/A

### **Program Objectives**

Increase Hattiesburg On-campus enrollment in Construction Engineering Technology program.

N/A

Employers are satisfied with Construction Engineering Technology interns performance.

Response ID
36
Course Information
Course Prefix:
AEC
Course #:
344
Course Title:
Structural Design
Delivery Format:
Both Online and F-to-F
Pre-Requisite:
AEC 270
Instructor:
Firas Shalabi
Semester:
Spring
Year:
2018
Academic Partner Name:
Beth Hartmann
Academic Partner Title:
Senior Lecturer
Academic Partner Contact Info:
bhartmann@iastate.edu
Industry Partner Name:
Nick Mills
Industry Partner Title:
V.P of Operations
Industry Partner Contact Info:
nmills@woodwarddesignbuild.com
Course guest Speaker (1) - Name, Title, Company
DR. Barbara Jackson, Director
Franklin L. Burns School of Real Estate and Construction Management
Course Guest Speaker (1): Topic Covered
Construction Management and Design Build
Course guest Speaker (2) - Name, Title, Company

NA

Course Guest Speaker (2): Topic Covered NA ACCE - SLO 1. ACCE SLO 19. Understand the basic principles of structural behavior. 2. ACCE SLO 19. Understand the basic principles of structural behavior. ETAC ABET (GC) 1. ETAC ABET AET (GC/PC): GC-b. an ability to select and apply a knowledge of mathematics, science, engineering, and technology to engineering technology problems that require the application of principles and applied procedures or methodologies; 2. ETAC ABET AET (GC/PC): CET-PC-BS-i. perform standard analysis and design in at least one sub-discipline related to construction engineering. Student Enrollment Number of Students Enrolled: 69 Number of CET students: Number of AET Students: **Data Collection** Target: 80% of students achieve a 70% or higher on the assessment ACCE SLO (1) Assessment Instrument Used: ACCE SLO (1) Assessment Instrument Used: [Other] ACCE SLO (1) Findings (please report CET Hattiesburg Campus, and CET online separately) on Campus = 90% of the students achieved the target online = 85.7% of the students achieved the target If ACCE SLO (1) Target not met identify action plan to improve outcomes: NA

ACCE SLO (2) Assessment Instrument Used:

ACCE SLO (2) Assessment Instrument Used: [Other]

ACCE SLO (2) Findings (please report CET Hattiesburg Campus, and CET online separately)

NA

If ACCE SLO (2) Target not met identify action plan to improve outcomes:

NA

ETAC-ABET (1) Assessment Instrument Used:

Tes

ETAC-ABET (1) Assessment Instrument Used: [Other]

ETAC-ABET (1) Findings (please report AET/CET Hattiesburg Campus, and AET/CET online separately)

on Campus = 90% of the students achieved the target

#nline = 85.7% of the students achieved the target

If ETAC-ABET (1) Target not met identify action plan to improve outcomes:

NA

ETAC-ABET (2) Assessment Instrument Used:

Test

ETAC-ABET (2) Assessment Instrument Used: [Other]

ETAC-ABET (2) Findings (please report AET/CET Hattiesburg Campus, and AET/CET online separately)

on Campus = 90% of the students achieved the target

#nline = 85.7% of the students achieved the target

If ETAC-ABET (2) Target not met identify action plan to improve outcomes:

N/A

### **Program Objectives**

Increase Hattiesburg On-campus enrollment in Construction Engineering Technology program.

I agree

Employers are satisfied with Construction Engineering Technology interns performance.

I agree

Hesponse ID
38
Course Information
Course Prefix:
AEC
Course #:
300
Course Title:
Seminar
Delivery Format:
Both Online and F-to-F
Pre-Requisite:
None
Instructor:
Jessica Lee
Semester:
Spring
Year:
2018
Academic Partner Name:
Barbara Jackson
Academic Partner Title:
Director, Burns School of Real Estate and Construction Management
Academic Partner Contact Info:
303.720.7886
Industry Partner Name:
Nick Mills
Industry Partner Title:
VICE PRESIDENT, BUSINESS UNIT MANAGER
Industry Partner Contact Info: 504.822.6443
Course guest Speaker (1) - Name, Title, Company Barbara Jackson
Course Guest Speaker (1): Topic Covered  Trends in Design-Build
Course guest Speaker (2) - Name, Title, Company NA

Course Guest Speaker (2): Topic Covered NA ACCE - SLO 1. ACCE SLO 12. Understand different methods of project delivery and the roles and responsibilities of all consistencies involved in the design and construction process. 2. ACCE SLO 18. Understand the basic principles of sustainable construction. ETAC ABET (GC) 1. ETAC ABET AET (GC/PC): N/A 2. ETAC ABET AET (GC/PC): N/A Student Enrollment Number of Students Enrolled: F2F 34, OL 77 Number of CET students: F2F 24, OL 66 Number of AET Students: F2F 9, OL 3 **Data Collection** Target: 80% of students achieve a 70% or higher on the assessment ACCE SLO (1) Assessment Instrument Used: Assignment ACCE SLO (1) Assessment Instrument Used: [Other] ACCE SLO (1) Findings (please report CET Hattiesburg Campus, and CET online separately) F2F (ACT) 89% of students achieved a 70% or higher, n=9 / 1 OL (ACT) 100% of students achieved a 70% or higher, n=3 / 0 F2F (BCT) 92% of students achieved a 70% or higher, n=24 / 2 OL (BCT) 92% of students achieved a 70% or higher, n=61/5 If ACCE SLO (1) Target not met identify action plan to improve outcomes:

NA

ACCE SLO (2) Assessment Instrument Used: Test ACCE SLO (2) Assessment Instrument Used: [Other] ACCE SLO (2) Findings (please report CET Hattiesburg Campus, and CET online separately) F2F (ACT) 89% of students achieved a 70% or higher, n=9 / 1 OL (ACT) 100% of students achieved a 70% or higher, n=3 / 0 F2F (BCT) 92% of students achieved a 70% or higher, n=24 / 2 OL (BCT) 95% of students achieved a 70% or higher, n=61/3 If ACCE SLO (2) Target not met identify action plan to improve outcomes: ETAC-ABET (1) Assessment Instrument Used: N/A ETAC-ABET (1) Assessment Instrument Used: [Other] ETAC-ABET (1) Findings (please report AET/CET Hattiesburg Campus, and AET/CET online separately) NA If ETAC-ABET (1) Target not met identify action plan to improve outcomes: ETAC-ABET (2) Assessment Instrument Used: N/A ETAC-ABET (2) Assessment Instrument Used: [Other]

ETAC-ABET (2) Findings (please report AET/CET Hattiesburg Campus, and AET/CET online separately) NA

If ETAC-ABET (2) Target not met identify action plan to improve outcomes:

NΑ

## **Program Objectives**

Increase Hattiesburg On-campus enrollment in Construction Engineering Technology program.

NΑ

Employers are satisfied with Construction Engineering Technology interns performance.

NA